UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,063	03/25/2004	Wolfgang Pfeifer 13	9913-170US1/2001P00030WO 8061		
32864 FISH & RICH <i>A</i>	7590 06/02/200 ARDSON, P.C.	8	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 1022	ŕ		PRICE, NATHAN E		
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2194		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/02/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/811,063	PFEIFER, WOLFGANG		
Examiner	A 4 1 1 14		
Lxammer	Art Unit		

	NATHAN PRICE	2194					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the period of extensions of the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII 36(a) and the appropriat	on. LED WITHIN TWO e extension fee				
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	nally set in the final Offic	e action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);					
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a control NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) </li> </ul>	·	•	-				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	ided below or appended.						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	,	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Meng-Ai An/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues the Office Action does not fully consider all recited limitations, specifically, the conversion of both chains between two natural languages. However, Brasher is relied upon in the Office Action to teach the identification using two chains. When Brasher is implemented in an international environment (col. 2 lines 12 - 20), one of ordinary skill in the art is motivated to apply the teachings of Sugiyama to the system of Brasher such that data being passed between countries is translated. Since Brasher teaches the identification using two chains, applying Sugiyama would lead one of ordinary skill in the art to translate the chains between natural languages as claimed.

Applicant argues one of ordinary skill in the art would not be motivated to consider the teachings relied upon in the rejections because they are not analogous to the claimed invention. However, although Brasher is not specifically focused on conversions between natural languages, the disclosure does identify the possibility of the system being spread across multiple countries (col. 2 lines 12 - 20). Therefore, Applicant's argument that one of ordinary skill in the art seeking to implement a system involving natural languages would not be motivated to consider Brasher is not persuasive. Furthermore, an international environment is interpreted as motivation to consider the possibility of a need to perform translations, motivating one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Brasher with a teaching, such as Sugiyama, that describes how to perform such translations.